Shikshan Sanshodhan : Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences ISSN(o): 2581-6241
Monthly, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed Journal Impact Factor: 9.134
Volume - 8, Issue - 12, December - 2025

DOIs:10.2018/SS/202512008 --:-- Research Paper / Article / Review

Impact of Experiential Learning on School-Teaching Practice: A Constructivist Perspective

Nikitasha Boro
B.Ed Student, Galgotias University, Greater Noida
E-mail ID: nikitabr65@gmail.com

Abstract: *Educational philosophy of John Dewey emphasizes "learning by doing" aka Experiential Learning closely aligns with constructivist theories of Piaget and Vygotsky, which emphasizes that learners actively build their understanding through interaction with their environment and social context.*

This study seeks to explore how experiential learning unfolds during teaching-practice in schools from a constructivist perspective. It aims to analyze the extent to which student engagement is impacted via constructivist strategies such as scaffolding and collaborative learning while examining the challenges faced by pre-service teachers in implementing such learner-centered approaches within the constraints of real classroom dynamics.

Keywords: *teaching-practice, experiential learning, constructivism, teaching-learning, active learning.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The school teaching practice is a critical component of teacher education, serving as the bridge between theoretical understanding and practical application. It offers pre-service teachers an authentic platform to engage in real classroom settings, allowing them to develop essential pedagogical skills. This phase of teacher training emphasizes not just observation but active participation, reflection, and professional growth, all hallmarks of experiential learning.

The connection between theoretical understanding and hands-on teaching experience is established during school-based training. During this stage, pre-service educators/teachers move from the theoretical examination of teaching methods to the real-world experience of classroom teaching and management. Central to this process is the concept of "experiential learning", an educational method that places learning in the framework of active involvement and reflection.

Rooted in John Dewey's philosophy of "learning through experience" and guided by the Constructivist theories from Piaget and Vygotsky, along with experiential learning, promote the cultivation of critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and a refined comprehension of instructional methods through hands-on experiences.

This review article examines the convergence of experiential learning and constructivist theory in the setting of school-based training for future educators. It explores how the main components of constructivist teaching such scaffolding, collaborative learning, discovery-based

learning, and reflective practice which are implemented during this formative stage. Further, it investigates the challenges encountered by student-teachers as they navigate complex classroom dynamics and attempt to apply learner-centered strategies in often rigid educational structures.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

- **Experiential Learning**

The educational theory of John Dewey serves as the cornerstone for experiential learning. According to Dewey, education should be an active process in which students interact with their surroundings rather than just imparting knowledge. According to his "learning by doing" theory, genuine learning requires real-world experiences. According

to him, schools ought to replicate real-world circumstances and provide pupils the chance to investigate, ask questions, and think critically. Many contemporary approaches to teacher education, especially those that prioritize field-based learning and reflective practice, are based on this idea.

- **Constructivist Theories in Education**

Piaget and Vygotsky's constructivism enhance Dewey's experiential learning theory. According to Piaget's cognitive constructivism, which places an emphasis on cognitive growth phases, students actively explore to build knowledge.

By highlighting the importance of interaction, cultural resources, and the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), where learning is scaffolded through guidance, Vygotsky introduced the social dimension of learning. When combined, these viewpoints provide a strong theoretical framework for comprehending how constructivist principles influence experiential learning in classroom settings.

- **Purpose and Principles of School Teaching Practice**

School-practice offers a genuine setting for pre-service teachers to implement pedagogical theories and acquire direct experience in overseeing classroom environments, creating lesson plans, evaluating student progress, and engaging with students and staff. This stage is crucial for forming the professional identity of teachers, fostering self-efficacy, and encouraging reflective practices.

Pre-service teachers are anticipated to move from being observers to becoming active practitioners. Their responsibilities shift from supporting mentor teachers to directing classroom activities, applying instructional strategies, and addressing the needs of diverse learners. Through this evolution, experiential learning serves as an essential means for professional growth.

- **Scaffolding**

One of the main principles of Vygotsky's social constructivism is scaffolding. Mentor teachers and teacher educators serve as more experienced individuals in the context of classroom instruction, helping pre-service teachers navigate challenging assignments until they become self-sufficient. Co-planning classes, demonstrating effective classroom management techniques, and giving prompt feedback are a few examples of this support. Good scaffolding adapts to the student teacher's changing level of competency and progressively backs off as they build self-assurance. However, there are significant differences in scaffolding quality and consistency between institutions. The possibility of guided learning may be limited by mentors who do not completely embrace their coaching duties.

- **Collaborative Learning**

Collaboration is central to constructivist learning, as it allows learners to co-construct knowledge. In teacher education, collaborative learning occurs among peers during lesson planning, group discussions, micro-teaching sessions, and reflective debriefings. Collaborative environments encourage pre-service teachers to share ideas, critique instructional strategies, and learn from one another's experiences.

Collaborative teaching experiences, such as team-teaching or co-facilitating projects, further reinforce this approach. These experiences mirror real-world teaching dynamics and prepare student-teachers for collaborative practices in professional settings.

3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- How do experiential learning strategies with constructivist elements contribute to enhancing student engagement?
- What are the challenges faced by pre-service teachers in implementing learner-centered approaches within the constraints of real classroom dynamics?

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study was done using a descriptive approach. The data for the study was gathered from secondary sources as well as first-person experiences as a student-teacher.

- **My Experience at Panchsheel Balak Inter College**

As an intern at Panchsheel Balak Inter College (PBIC), I observed that the school's teaching practices align significantly with a constructivist approach to education. The emphasis on active student engagement and the collaborative construction of knowledge, rather than rote memorization, was evident throughout my teaching practice.

The school's core teaching methodology promotes student-friendly and student-centered paradigms, striving to deliver a "real-time fun-filled impactful experience". This directly reflects constructivist principles, where the learner is positioned at the heart of the educational process. I particularly noted that students demonstrated greater engagement with teachers who incorporated interactive Teaching-Learning Materials (TLMs) and enthusiastically embraced the concept of "Active Learning Classrooms". These observations underscore the effectiveness of active learning strategies, a cornerstone of constructivist theory.

PBIC's commitment to fostering intellectual growth was clear in its mission to provide a holistic and transformative education that emphasizes critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and innovation. For instance, I observed the Science subject teacher actively encouraging students to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills. These are vital attributes in a constructivist framework, where students are challenged to engage deeply and devise their own solutions. The encouragement of group discussion sessions further facilitates collaborative learning, allowing students to construct understanding through dialogue and peer interaction.

A key aspect of the teaching I observed was the focus on making subjects relevant and meaningful to student's lives. The Science subject teacher, for example, consistently linked scientific concepts to real-world applications. This approach helps students build meaningful connections between new information and their existing knowledge, which is crucial for the effective construction of understanding. Furthermore, teachers are encouraged to adapt their teaching methodologies and materials to cater to diverse learning styles and abilities. This acknowledges that students acquire knowledge in varied ways and at different paces, a central tenet of constructivism.

My interactions with the faculty revealed that teachers at PBIC serve not merely as instructors but also as mentors. They actively strive to build strong relationships with students, offering guidance and support beyond academic subjects. This aligns with the constructivist view of the teacher as a facilitator who guides and supports the learning process rather than simply transmitting information. My observations also highlighted the significant individual differences among students, reinforcing the importance of tailoring instruction to meet diverse needs, a practice essential in a constructivist classroom. Additionally, students are encouraged to lead assemblies, functions, and various other programs under the guidance of their teachers. This empowers them to take ownership of their learning and apply their knowledge in practical, real-world contexts.

Classroom reflections also revealed how different students processed information differently—some preferred verbal instruction, others visual or kinesthetic. Catering to multiple learning styles allowed me to differentiate instruction, thus respecting the individual differences constructivism accounts for.

Moreover, I avoided one-way communication and instead adopted a facilitative role. I frequently posed open-ended questions, prompting students to think critically and reason through problems. Students were encouraged to share their perspectives, which not only validated their voices but also nurtured a learner-centered environment. Peer learning also played a significant role; students often taught and learned from one another during cooperative tasks, embodying the concept of scaffolding.

5. Research Gap

While the provided theoretical framework and the observational insights from PBIC offer a strong foundation, several areas warrant further investigation and present inherent challenges:

- Longitudinal Impact of Experiential and Constructivist Practices on Pre-service Teacher's Career Trajectories: The text highlights the crucial role of school practice in forming professional identity and fostering self-efficacy. However, a gap exists in understanding the long-term effects of strong experiential and constructivist training on pre-service teachers sustained professional development, resilience, adaptability to diverse school settings, and retention rates in the profession.

- Quantifiable Impact of Specific Constructivist Elements on Student Outcomes: While the PBIC observation notes increased student engagement with interactive TLMs and active learning, the text doesn't provide quantifiable data on how specific constructivist elements (e.g., frequency of scaffolding, types of collaborative activities) directly correlate with student learning outcomes, critical thinking scores, or problem-solving abilities over time.
- Variability in Scaffolding Quality and its Consequences: The text explicitly mentions "significant differences in scaffolding quality and consistency between institutions." This points to a critical gap in understanding: The specific factors contributing to this variability (e.g., mentor teacher training, institutional support, mentor-mentee ratios).
- The measurable impact of inconsistent or poor scaffolding on pre-service teachers' learning, confidence, and eventual classroom performance.
- Effective strategies for standardizing and improving scaffolding quality across teacher education programs.
- Integration of Digital Tools and Experiential/Constructivist Learning: While interactive TLMs are mentioned, a deeper exploration of how emerging digital tools and platforms can be effectively integrated to enhance experiential and constructivist learning in both pre-service teacher training and K-12 classrooms is a pertinent gap. This includes virtual reality, simulations, and AI-powered learning environments.

Addressing the "Gap" Between Theory and Practice in Diverse Contexts: The PBIC example showcases successful implementation, but the text doesn't delve into the challenges of applying these theories in resource-constrained environments, schools with large class sizes, or those with highly standardized curricula that may not readily accommodate active, student-centered approaches.

6. Challenges

- Measuring the Nuances of Experiential and Constructivist Learning: Unlike traditional teaching methods, assessing the depth of learning, critical thinking, and collaborative skills developed through experiential and constructivist approaches can be complex and requires sophisticated qualitative and quantitative methodologies.
- Resistance to Change and Traditional Paradigms: Implementing truly constructivist practices often requires a significant shift from traditional, teacher-centered instruction. This can face resistance from experienced educators accustomed to older methods, as well as from parents or administrators who may prioritize rote learning or standardized test scores.
- Resource Intensiveness: Active learning, differentiated instruction, and hands-on experiences can be more resource-intensive (in terms of time, materials, and teacher-student ratios) than conventional teaching, posing a challenge for widespread implementation, especially in underfunded educational systems.
- Teacher Training and Professional Development: Equipping both pre-service and in-service teachers with the skills and mindset necessary to effectively facilitate constructivist and experiential learning requires ongoing, high-quality professional development that goes beyond theoretical knowledge.

7. Reflection and Feedback

Based on the identified gaps and challenges, the following suggestions can inform future research and practice in experiential and constructivist learning within teacher education and school settings:

- Enhance Mentor Teacher Training and Support: Teacher education programs should invest significantly in comprehensive training programs for mentor teachers, focusing on effective scaffolding techniques, collaborative learning facilitation, and reflective practice. Ongoing support and recognition for mentors are crucial.
- Integrate Reflective Practice More Deeply: Beyond general reflective debriefings, implement structured reflective journals, peer coaching sessions, and portfolio assessments that encourage pre-service teachers to critically analyze their teaching experiences through a constructivist lens.
- Promote and Facilitate Collaborative Professional Learning Communities: Encourage the formation of professional learning communities among pre-service teachers, mentor teachers, and university faculty to foster ongoing dialogue, share best practices, and collaboratively address challenges related to constructivist pedagogy.

- Develop Resource Libraries for Active Learning: Curate and make accessible a wide range of interactive Teaching-Learning Materials (TLMs) and resources that support active and student-centered learning across different subjects and grade levels.
- Advocate for Policy Changes Supporting Experiential Learning: Work with educational policymakers to create curricula and assessment frameworks that value and encourage experiential, inquiry-based, and problem-solving approaches, moving beyond a sole focus on rote memorization and standardized testing.

8. Conclusion

The theoretical foundations laid by John Dewey, Piaget, and Vygotsky provide an indispensable framework for understanding and implementing effective educational practices. As evidenced by the observations at Panchsheel Balak Inter College, when experiential learning and constructivist principles are actively embraced, they foster a vibrant, student-centered environment that prioritizes critical thinking, problem-solving, and the active construction of knowledge.

The transition of pre-service teachers from observers to active practitioners, facilitated through well-designed school practice, is paramount for cultivating their professional identity and self-efficacy. Key constructivist elements like scaffolding and collaborative learning are not merely pedagogical techniques but essential drivers of this transformative process, mirroring real-world teaching dynamics.

However, the full potential of these powerful educational philosophies is yet to be realized, presenting notable research gaps and practical challenges. Future endeavors must focus on rigorous longitudinal studies to quantify long-term impacts, develop refined assessment tools for nuanced learning outcomes, and address the critical issue of ensuring consistent, high-quality scaffolding in field placements. Furthermore, leveraging emerging technologies and adapting these principles to diverse educational contexts will be crucial.

Ultimately, the commitment to experiential and constructivist learning in teacher education and K-12 schooling is an investment in creating adaptable, reflective, and effective educators who, in turn, will cultivate a generation of learners equipped not just with knowledge, but with the capacity for lifelong learning, critical inquiry, and meaningful engagement with the world. By addressing the identified gaps and embracing the suggested directions, we can further solidify the cornerstone of education on principles that genuinely empower both teachers and students.

Reflecting on this experience, I realized how important it is to create a safe, inquiry-driven, and inclusive classroom that encourages curiosity and independence. At times, I faced challenges, especially when students were reluctant to participate. However, gradually, as they realized their ideas were respected, participation grew. These moments affirmed my belief that learning is a process of meaning-making, not merely information transmission.

In conclusion, my teaching internship reinforced the value of the constructivist approach in real classroom settings. It helped me grow from a content deliverer to a learning facilitator. By focusing on student interaction, real-world applications, and reflective thinking, I witnessed firsthand how constructivism fosters deeper understanding, critical thinking, and active engagement—qualities essential for both learners and future educators like myself.

REFERENCES

1. Kolb, David. (1984). Experiential Learning: Experience As The Source Of Learning And Development.
2. Dagar, V., & Yadav, A. (2016). Constructivism: a Paradigm for Teaching and Learning. Arts and Social Sciences Journal, 7(4).
3. Kiggundu, E. M., & Nayimuli, S. T. (2009). Teaching practice: a make or break phase for student teachers. South African Journal of Education, 29(3). https://doi.org/10.4314/saje.v29i3.45174
4. Rani, Komal & Kumar, Tarun. (2023). Experiential Learning in School Education: Prospects and Challenges. 10. 178-183. 10.5281/zenodo.7652609.