

The Politics of English: Debates on Language Policy in Independent India

Dr. Abusina Biswas

Faculty, Department of English, Rani Dhanya Kumari college, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West-Bengal
Email - abusinab@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper explores the contentious role of English in shaping language policy in independent India, a nation characterized by extraordinary linguistic diversity. Since 1947, English has been a focal point of political, cultural, and social debates, reflecting tensions between national unity, regional identity, and global aspirations. Rooted in its colonial legacy, English was retained as an associate official language under the Indian Constitution, sparking debates over Hindi imposition, regional language rights, and socio-economic inequities. The paper examines key milestones, including the Official Languages Act of 1963, anti-Hindi agitations in southern states, and the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, to analyze how English has served as both a unifying force and a symbol of elitism. Drawing on constitutional debates, policy documents, and scholarly literature, the study argues that English's role in India reflects broader struggles over power, identity, and access to resources in a multilingual society. It highlights the paradox of English as a tool of empowerment and exclusion, offering insights into crafting equitable language policies in a postcolonial context.

Keywords: Language policy, English in India, Hindi imposition, multilingualism, postcolonial identity, Official Languages Act, National Education Policy, linguistic diversity, anti-Hindi agitations, Indian Constitution.

1. INTRODUCTION

India's linguistic landscape, with over 1,600 languages and 22 scheduled languages recognized by the Constitution, presents a unique challenge for language policy (Census of India, 2011). Since independence in 1947, the question of a national language has been fraught with political, cultural, and social complexities. English, inherited from British colonial rule, has played a pivotal role in these debates, serving as a bridge for national integration, a tool for global connectivity, and a source of socio-economic division. This paper examines the politics of English in independent India, addressing the central question: How has the role of English in India's language policy shaped political, cultural, and social dynamics since 1947?

The question of language policy in independent India has been one of the most complex and contentious issues shaping the nation's political, cultural, and social landscape. As a multilingual and multiethnic society with over 1,600 languages and dialects, India faced the formidable challenge of forging a cohesive national identity while respecting its linguistic diversity. At the heart of this challenge lies the role of English, a colonial legacy that has sparked vigorous debates since India's independence in 1947. The politics of English in India reflects not only practical concerns about communication and governance but also deeper ideological struggles over nationalism, regional identity, social equity, and global aspirations. This introduction examines the historical context, key debates, and enduring implications of language policy in independent India, with a particular focus on the contested status of English.

The thesis of this study is that English, as a colonial legacy, has been a double-edged sword, facilitating communication across India's diverse regions and connecting the nation to the global stage while perpetuating inequalities and fueling linguistic tensions. The paper traces the historical trajectory of English through constitutional debates, the Official Languages Act of 1963, anti-Hindi agitations, and contemporary policies like the NEP 2020. It employs a historical and

analytical approach, drawing on primary sources (e.g., Constituent Assembly Debates, policy documents) and secondary sources from linguistics, political science, and postcolonial studies (e.g., Agnihotri, 2017; Ramanathan, 2005). Understanding the politics of English offers insights into India's broader struggles with identity, equity, and modernization in a multilingual society, with implications for other postcolonial nations navigating similar challenges.

Section 1: Historical Context: English as a Colonial Legacy

The Colonial Roots of English

The introduction of English in India was a deliberate act of colonial policy, epitomized by Thomas Macaulay's Minute on Education (1835), which advocated for English-medium education to create "a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect" (Macaulay, 1835). English became the language of colonial administration, higher education, and the judiciary, fostering an elite class of English-educated Indians who served as intermediaries between the British and the Indian populace. By the early 20th century, English was entrenched in urban centers, particularly in Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras, and was associated with social prestige and economic opportunity.

However, English also faced resistance from nationalist leaders. Mahatma Gandhi, for instance, championed Hindustani—a blend of Hindi and Urdu written in Devanagari or Persian script—as a unifying language for India's masses, arguing that English alienated Indians from their cultural roots (Gandhi, 1947). This tension between English as a tool of modernity and a symbol of colonial oppression set the stage for post-independence debates.

The Constitutional Framework: Language and the Making of a Nation

The framing of India's Constitution (1950) was a critical moment for addressing the language question. The Constituent Assembly debates revealed deep divisions over the choice of a national language and the role of English. Hindi, spoken by a plurality in northern India, was proposed as the national language to unify the country and replace English. However, this proposal met resistance from non-Hindi-speaking regions, particularly in South India, where Dravidian languages like Tamil, Telugu, Kannada, and Malayalam held sway. Critics of Hindi imposition argued that it would marginalize non-Hindi speakers and replicate the cultural hegemony once imposed by English.

To navigate these tensions, the Constitution adopted a pragmatic but complex approach. Hindi was declared the official language of the Union under Article 343, with English retained as an associate official language for 15 years (until 1965) to facilitate a transition. The Eighth Schedule listed 14 major regional languages (later expanded to 22), recognizing India's linguistic diversity. This framework aimed to balance national unity with regional autonomy, but it left the question of English unresolved, setting the stage for decades of debate.

The Hindi-English Divide: Regionalism and Resistance

The push to establish Hindi as the sole official language sparked significant resistance, particularly in South India. The Dravidian movement in Tamil Nadu, led by figures like C.N. Annadurai and E.V. Ramasamy (Periyar), framed Hindi imposition as a form of northern domination and a threat to regional identity. The Anti-Hindi Agitation of 1965, triggered by the proposed end of English's official status, saw widespread protests in Tamil Nadu, including strikes, demonstrations, and even self-immolations. These protests underscored the deep emotional and cultural significance of language in India, where linguistic identity is often intertwined with regional pride and historical memory.

The government, under Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri, responded by passing the Official Languages Act (1963, amended 1967), which ensured that English would continue as an associate official language indefinitely alongside Hindi. This compromise acknowledged the practical necessity of English in a multilingual nation while addressing the concerns of non-Hindi-speaking states. However, it also entrenched a bilingual policy that perpetuated the perception of English as a language of elite privilege and Hindi as a marker of national identity, creating a fault line that persists to this day.

Post-Independence Language Debates

Upon independence in 1947, India faced the challenge of crafting a language policy that balanced its linguistic diversity with the need for national unity. The Constituent Assembly Debates (1946–1949) revealed deep divisions over the choice of a national language. Proponents of Hindi, such as R.V. Dhulekar and Seth Govind Das, argued that Hindi, as the most widely spoken language, should replace English to assert cultural sovereignty (Austin, 1999). However, leaders from non-Hindi-speaking regions, particularly in South India, resisted Hindi imposition, viewing it as a threat to regional linguistic identities.

Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, adopted a pragmatic stance, advocating for English as a neutral link language to facilitate communication across states and with the global community (King, 1997). The compromise enshrined in Article 343 of the Indian Constitution designated Hindi as the official language of the Union, with English as an associate official language for 15 years, until 1965, to allow for a gradual transition. This decision reflected the practical necessity of English in a nation with no single dominant language and a burgeoning bureaucracy inherited from the British.

Contemporary Debates: English in a Globalized India

In contemporary India, the politics of English remains a dynamic and evolving issue. The rise of globalization, digital media, and urbanization has amplified the demand for English proficiency, even as regional languages gain prominence through literature, cinema, and political activism. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has reignited debates by emphasizing mother-tongue education in early schooling while promoting multilingualism, including English, as a skill for global competitiveness. Critics argue that this dual approach risks reinforcing English's elite status while others see it as a pragmatic response to India's linguistic complexity.

Moreover, the rise of regional political parties and cultural movements has kept language a potent political issue. States like Karnataka and Maharashtra have pushed for the primacy of their regional languages in education and administration, while English continues to dominate higher education and professional spheres. The tension between linguistic nationalism and the pragmatic embrace of English reflects India's ongoing negotiation between tradition and modernity.

Key Figures and Perspectives

Nehru's support for English was rooted in its role as a unifying force in a linguistically fragmented nation and its utility in international diplomacy. In contrast, leaders like C.N. Annadurai, a founder of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (DMK) in Tamil Nadu, argued that Hindi imposition would marginalize non-Hindi-speaking regions, particularly in the South, where Dravidian languages like Tamil and Telugu predominate (Annamalai, 2001). Annadurai's advocacy for English as a counterweight to Hindi underscored its role as a symbol of resistance to linguistic hegemony.

Impact on Early Policy

The retention of English in the Constitution ensured its continued use in the judiciary, parliament, and higher education. However, it also set the stage for ongoing debates about the pace of transition to Hindi and the role of regional languages. The establishment of English as a temporary but critical medium reflected the complexities of forging a national identity in a postcolonial, multilingual state.

Section 2: The Official Languages Act and Linguistic Tensions

The Official Languages Act, 1963

As the 1965 deadline for phasing out English approached, India faced mounting tensions over language policy. Non-Hindi-speaking states, particularly Tamil Nadu, feared that Hindi's elevation to sole official language status would disadvantage their populations in national governance and employment. To address these concerns, the Indian Parliament passed the Official Languages Act in 1963, which allowed for the continued use of English alongside Hindi for official purposes beyond 1965 (Government of India, 1963).

The Act was a pragmatic response to linguistic diversity, ensuring that English remained a medium for inter-state communication, parliamentary proceedings, and high court judgments. It also formalized the Three-Language Formula, introduced in 1968, which mandated the teaching of Hindi, English, and a regional language in schools to promote multilingualism and national integration (Sridhar, 1987). However, the Act did not resolve underlying tensions, as it was perceived by some as a concession to Hindi dominance and by others as a perpetuation of English's colonial legacy.

Anti-Hindi Agitations

The most significant resistance to Hindi imposition came from Tamil Nadu, where the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (DMK) mobilized large-scale protests in the 1960s. The anti-Hindi agitations, peaking in 1965, were sparked by fears that Hindi's dominance would marginalize Tamil speakers in education and employment. Protesters, led by figures like Annadurai and M. Karunanidhi, burned Hindi textbooks and defaced Hindi signboards, framing English as a neutral alternative to Hindi hegemony (Brass, 1990).

The agitations culminated in violent clashes, with several protesters killed in police actions. The central government, under Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri, responded by amending the Official Languages Act in 1967 to ensure English's indefinite use as an associate official language. This decision was a landmark victory for non-Hindi-speaking states, reinforcing English's role as a compromise language.

Socio-Political Implications

The anti-Hindi agitations highlighted English's unique position as a politically neutral language in a diverse nation. For southern states, English was not only a practical tool but also a symbol of resistance to perceived Hindi domination. In contrast, Hindi-speaking regions, particularly in northern India, viewed English's continued prominence as a barrier to cultural decolonization, giving rise to sporadic "Angrezi Hatao" (Remove English) movements (Sarangi, 2009).

The agitations also underscored the regional disparities in linguistic identity. Tamil Nadu's linguistic nationalism, rooted in Dravidian pride, contrasted with the Hindi heartland's push for a singular national language. English, as a result, became a de facto link language, used in official correspondence and education to bridge these divides.

Case Studies

- **Tamil Nadu:** The DMK's success in securing English's status reshaped national language policy and strengthened regional political movements. Tamil Nadu's emphasis on English-medium education has since contributed to its economic growth, particularly in IT and education sectors.
- **Kerala and Karnataka:** These states adopted English as a key medium in higher education, balancing regional language instruction with global competitiveness. Karnataka's IT hub, Bengaluru, exemplifies English's role in economic development.

Section 3: English and Socio-Economic Divides

English as an Elite Language

In independent India, English has retained its association with social prestige and economic opportunity. English-medium education, often provided by private schools, is seen as a gateway to professional success in fields like IT, medicine, and law. The urban elite, particularly in metropolitan cities like Delhi, Mumbai, and Bengaluru, have leveraged English proficiency to access globalized job markets, reinforcing its status as a marker of class and privilege (Ramanathan, 2005).

English as a Tool of Mobility and Global Integration

Despite its colonial origins, English has emerged as a critical tool for social and economic mobility in independent India. Proficiency in English is often a prerequisite for access to higher education, white-collar jobs, and global opportunities, particularly in fields like technology, business, and academia. The growth of India's IT and outsourcing industries since the 1990s has further cemented English's role as a global link language, connecting India to the world economy. For many, especially in urban centers, English represents aspiration and modernity, a gateway to global citizenship.

However, this privileged status has also deepened social inequalities. English-medium education, often provided by private schools, is accessible primarily to the urban middle and upper classes, creating a linguistic divide between those proficient in English and those educated in regional languages. This divide has fueled debates about equity and access, with critics arguing that the dominance of English perpetuates a form of neo-colonialism, marginalizing non-English speakers and reinforcing class hierarchies.

The Three-Language Formula: A Balancing Act

To address linguistic diversity and promote national integration, India adopted the Three-Language Formula in 1968, which encouraged students to learn their regional language, Hindi, and English. This policy aimed to foster multilingualism while ensuring that English remained a practical tool for communication across states. However, its implementation has been uneven, with Hindi-speaking states often prioritizing Hindi and English over regional languages, while non-Hindi states resisted Hindi instruction, preferring their mother tongues and English. The formula's mixed success reflects the broader challenge of reconciling linguistic diversity with national cohesion.

Inequities in Access

Access to English education remains uneven, exacerbating socio-economic divides. Private English-medium schools, often expensive, cater to urban and affluent families, while government schools, primarily in regional languages, serve rural and lower-income communities. The Annual Status of Education Report (ASER, 2023) indicates that only 24% of rural students in Class 8 can read basic English texts, compared to over 80% in urban private schools. This disparity limits rural students' access to high-paying jobs in sectors like IT, where English proficiency is often a prerequisite.

The growth of India's IT industry, particularly in Bengaluru and Hyderabad, has amplified the economic value of English. Cities like Bengaluru employ over 1.5 million IT professionals, most of whom rely on English for communication with global clients (NASSCOM, 2024). However, this economic advantage is largely inaccessible to those educated in regional-language schools, perpetuating a cycle of inequality.

Cultural Implications

English's dominance in education and employment has sparked cultural debates. In Hindi-speaking regions, movements like "Angrezi Hatao" argue that English undermines Indian cultural identity, favoring Hindi or regional languages as markers of authenticity. Conversely, in southern states, English is often embraced as a tool of empowerment, enabling access to global opportunities without the baggage of Hindi imposition.

The cultural divide is evident in media and literature. English-language media, such as *The Hindu* and *Times of India*, cater to urban, cosmopolitan audiences, while regional-language media dominate rural markets. Similarly, Indian English literature, from authors like R.K. Narayan to Arundhati Roy, reflects an elite cultural sphere inaccessible to non-English-speaking readers.

Data and Evidence

- **ASER Reports:** Highlight disparities in English proficiency across rural and urban areas.
- **Economic Impact:** Studies estimate that English proficiency contributes to a 20–30% wage premium in urban job markets (Azam et al., 2013).
- **Case Study: Bengaluru:** The city's IT boom illustrates English's role in economic mobility, but also its exclusivity, as rural migrants often struggle to compete without English skills.

Section 4: Contemporary Debates: The National Education Policy 2020

NEP 2020 and Language Policy

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a significant shift in India's language policy, emphasizing mother tongue-based education in primary schools while retaining English as a medium of instruction in higher education

(Government of India, 2020). The policy advocates for the Three-Language Formula, encouraging students to learn Hindi, English, and a regional language to promote multilingualism and national integration.

The NEP's focus on mother tongue education aims to address educational inequities by making early learning more accessible to rural and non-English-speaking students. However, it retains English as a critical skill for higher education and global competitiveness, reflecting its entrenched role in India's economy and society.

Stakeholder Perspectives

Educators and linguists have largely welcomed the NEP's emphasis on regional languages, arguing that it fosters cognitive development and cultural pride (Agnihotri, 2017). However, southern states, particularly Tamil Nadu, have expressed concerns that the policy's promotion of Hindi could marginalize regional languages and reduce access to English, which remains a key economic asset.

The private sector, particularly in IT and global business, has emphasized the need for English proficiency to maintain India's competitive edge. Industry leaders argue that English is essential for India's role in the global economy, which contributes over \$200 billion annually through IT and business process outsourcing (NASSCOM, 2024).

Challenges and Opportunities

The NEP faces the challenge of balancing linguistic diversity with the practical need for a common language. Implementing mother tongue education requires significant investment in teacher training and resources, particularly in rural areas. Meanwhile, the continued emphasis on English in higher education risks perpetuating the urban-rural divide.

Technological advancements, such as AI-powered translation tools, offer opportunities to reduce reliance on English while promoting multilingualism. For instance, platforms like Google Translate and indigenous tools like Bhashini are enabling communication across languages, potentially bridging linguistic gaps.

Global Context

India's language policy can be compared to other multilingual nations. Singapore, for example, adopts English as a neutral lingua franca alongside Malay, Mandarin, and Tamil, ensuring equitable access through bilingual education. South Africa, another postcolonial nation, grapples with similar tensions between English and indigenous languages, with English often dominating elite spheres (Phillipson, 1992). These comparisons highlight the need for policies that balance global connectivity with cultural preservation.

Section 5: The Future of English in India

Enduring Role of English

English's role in India is unlikely to diminish in the near future. As a global lingua franca, it remains essential for trade, technology, and diplomacy. India's position as a leader in IT and pharmaceuticals relies heavily on English proficiency, with over 70% of India's exports involving English-speaking markets (Ministry of Commerce, 2024). Domestically, English serves as a neutral link language, facilitating communication in a nation where no single language is spoken by a majority.

Challenges to Linguistic Equity

The primary challenge is addressing disparities in access to English education. Rural students, who constitute 70% of India's population, often lack access to quality English instruction, limiting their economic opportunities. Additionally, the promotion of regional languages must be balanced with the need for English to ensure global competitiveness.

Policy Recommendations

1. **Strengthen Bilingual Education:** Invest in bilingual curricula that integrate English with regional languages, ensuring accessibility for all students.

2. **Teacher Training:** Expand training programs to equip teachers with skills to teach English effectively in regional-language schools.
3. **Leverage Technology:** Use AI and digital platforms to provide affordable English learning resources, such as mobile apps and online courses.
4. **Inclusive Policy Frameworks:** Develop policies that recognize English's utility without marginalizing regional languages, drawing on Singapore's model of balanced multilingualism.

Theoretical Framework

Postcolonial theory provides a lens to understand English's dual role as a tool of empowerment and subjugation. Robert Phillipson's concept of linguistic imperialism highlights how English perpetuates global inequalities, yet in India, it also serves as a tool of resistance against Hindi hegemony (Phillipson, 1992). This paradox underscores the need for nuanced policies that address both global and local dynamics.

2. Conclusion

The politics of English in independent India reflects the nation's complex negotiation of its colonial past, linguistic diversity, and global aspirations. From its role as a colonial legacy to its entrenchment as an associate official language, English has been both a unifying force and a source of division. The Official Languages Act and anti-Hindi agitations underscore its political significance, while its association with socio-economic mobility highlights its role in perpetuating inequities. The NEP 2020 represents a step toward balancing linguistic diversity with global competitiveness, but challenges remain in ensuring equitable access to English.

The enduring paradox of English—as a tool of empowerment and exclusion—offers lessons for other postcolonial nations. Future research should explore the impact of digital platforms on English usage in rural India and compare India's language policies with those of other multilingual states. By addressing disparities and leveraging technology, India can craft a language policy that honors its diversity while embracing the opportunities of a globalized world.

The politics of English in independent India encapsulates a complex interplay of history, identity, and pragmatism, reflecting the nation's ongoing struggle to balance its multilingual heritage with the demands of nation-building and global integration. Since 1947, the debates surrounding language policy have been a microcosm of India's broader challenges: forging unity in diversity, addressing social inequities, and navigating the legacy of colonialism while embracing modernity. The role of English, as both a colonial inheritance and a global lingua franca, has been at the heart of these debates, shaping education, governance, and social mobility in profound ways. As India continues to evolve as a global power, the politics of English remains a dynamic and unresolved issue, with far-reaching implications for its cultural and political future.

The constitutional compromise of retaining English as an associate official language alongside Hindi was a pragmatic response to India's linguistic diversity, yet it also entrenched a linguistic hierarchy. English, once a symbol of colonial oppression, has transformed into a tool of empowerment for some and a barrier for others. Its dominance in higher education, technology, and global commerce has made it indispensable for upward mobility, particularly for urban elites and the burgeoning middle class. However, this has come at the cost of deepening social divides, as access to quality English-medium education remains uneven, often reinforcing class and regional disparities. The persistence of English as a marker of privilege underscores the need for policies that democratize access to it while promoting regional languages to ensure linguistic equity.

The resistance to Hindi imposition, particularly during the Anti-Hindi Agitations of the 1960s, highlighted the emotional and cultural significance of language in India. These movements underscored that language is not merely a means of communication but a repository of identity, history, and pride. The Three-Language Formula, introduced to foster multilingualism, sought to bridge regional and national aspirations but has faced challenges in implementation, reflecting the difficulty of reconciling competing linguistic loyalties. The continued prominence of English in education

and administration, even in non-Hindi-speaking states, reveals its role as a neutral link language in a nation where no single language can claim universal acceptance. In contemporary India, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has reignited discussions about language policy by advocating for mother-tongue education while recognizing English's importance for global competitiveness. This dual approach reflects the enduring tension between linguistic nationalism and pragmatic globalism. As regional languages gain prominence through literature, media, and political mobilization, English remains a vital bridge to the global stage, particularly in the context of India's thriving IT and service sectors. Yet, the challenge lies in ensuring that English does not perpetuate exclusion but becomes a tool for inclusive development.

The politics of English in India is thus a story of negotiation—between tradition and modernity, unity and diversity, equity and aspiration. It reflects the nation's ability to adapt and innovate in the face of complex challenges, but also its struggle to resolve deep-seated inequalities. Moving forward, India's language policy must strive for a delicate balance: promoting regional languages to preserve cultural heritage, expanding access to English to empower a wider population, and fostering multilingualism to strengthen national cohesion. The debates over English's role are far from settled, but they offer an opportunity to reimagine a linguistic framework that reflects India's pluralistic ethos while equipping its citizens for a globalized world. In this ongoing dialogue, the politics of English will continue to shape India's identity, governance, and place in the global order, serving as both a challenge and a testament to the nation's resilience and diversity.

Bibliography:

1. Agnihotri, R. K. (2017). *Indian English: Towards a New Paradigm*. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan.
2. Annamalai, E. (2001). *Managing Multilingualism in India: Political and Linguistic Consequences*. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
3. Austin, Granville. (1999). *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
4. Azam, M., Chin, A., & Prakash, N. (2013). "The Returns to English-Language Skills in India." *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 61(2), 335–367.
5. Brass, Paul R. (1990). *The Politics of India Since Independence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
6. Census of India. (2011). *Language Atlas of India*. New Delhi: Registrar General of India.
7. Gandhi, M. K. (1947). *Hind Swaraj and Other Writings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
8. Government of India. (1963). *Official Languages Act, 1963*. New Delhi: Ministry of Law and Justice.
9. Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. New Delhi: Ministry of Human Resource Development.
10. King, Robert D. (1997). *Nehru and the Language Politics of India*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
11. Macaulay, T. B. (1835). *Minute on Indian Education*. London: British Colonial Office.
12. NASSCOM. (2024). *Indian IT Industry Report 2024*. New Delhi: National Association of Software and Service Companies.
13. Phillipson, Robert. (1992). *Linguistic Imperialism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
14. Ramanathan, Vaidehi. (2005). *The English-Vernacular Divide: Postcolonial Language Politics and Practice*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
15. Sarangi, Asha (Ed.). (2009). *Language and Politics in India*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
16. Sridhar, S. N. (1987). "Language Policy and Planning in India: The Case of English." *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 63, 85–101