Shikshan Sanshodhan : Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences **ISSN(o): 2581-6241**
Monthly, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed Journal **Impact Factor: 7.384**
Volume - 8, Issue - 6, June - 2025

DOIs:10.2018/SS/202506003 --:-- Research Paper / Article / Review

Trade and Economy in Vedic Civilization: A Historical Inquiry

Dr. Tamali Mustafi
Associate Professor, Department of History
Surya Sen Mahavidyalaya, Siliguri, West Bengal, India
Email: tamalimstf3@gmail.com

Abstract: *The study highlights how the economic setup and values of the Vedic era between 1500 BCE and 500 BCE were bound to the domain of ethics in the spiritual domain and socio-economic on the trade and livelihood nature of early Indian civilization. It attempts to study the economic activity of the period intertwined with Dharma (moral order) and Artha (material prosperity) in such a way that trade was concerned with ethical considerations, sustainability, and social welfare. The present study used the qualitative-historical-analytical method while utilising primary sources from Vedic literature-Rigveda, Samaveda, Yajurveda, and Atharvaveda which have later been interpreted from comparative philosophical and gendered perspectives. The core of the secondary literature included R.S. Sharma, Romila Thapar, A.S. Altekar, and Sri Aurobindo. Textual analysis is used to interpret exchanges by barter, social groups as agents of economic exchange, women's agency in trade, and rajas' agency in economic governance. Results from the investigation have demonstrated trading in Vedic society as communication, and as just and communal within the cosmic and moral order. Barter trade was most commonly practiced with cattle, grains and artisanal goods being the main channels of exchange. Women worked in agriculture, textile production and market trading though they maintained their own property and exercised only marginal economic autonomy. The rulers guaranteed distributive justice through the sacrificial systems. From a managerial perspective, this paves the way for alternative economic models centering ethics on commerce and sustainability and foregrounding inclusive participation.*

Keywords: *Vedic Civilization, Ancient Trade, Barter Economy, Dharma, Artha, Gender Roles, Historical Economics.*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and Significance of the Study

Vedic civilization (circa 1500 BCE to 500 BCE) forms the very earliest structured phase of Indian history, not only with respect to religion and society but rather from an economic perspective. Trade and economy during this period show a civilization where trade and livelihood were very much guided by moral considerations, environment harmony and community welfare. What makes Vedic economy significant is the fact that it was a synthesis of spiritual ideas and day-to-day economic activities in a truly integrated economic framework that is, wealth (Artha) was sought according to the code of righteousness represented by Dharma. Being dissimilar to present-day capitalist set-ups, the system provided a strong ethical ground for business and trade in ancient India.

Economic activities consisting of barter, apportionment of resources, division of labour and redistribution of wealth were mentioned in the *Rigveda* and were considered later by some scholars as strong evidence of highly advanced socio-economic planning. Practices of those days carry more than historical relevance, holding lessons today for sustainability in trade, ideals in business and inclusion in economic participation.

1.2. Review of Literature

R.S. Sharma (2006) points out that an agrarian society formed the basis of the Vedic economy, with cattle-based wealth and iron tools on the rise (pp. 40–45). Romila Thapar (2004) reveals instances related to international market politics and administrative control (pp. 112–117). A.S. Altekar (1938) takes the path of analyzing legal and economic agency of women (pp. 56–60). Sri Aurobindo (2003) studies the moral-philosophical congruence of economic activities with spiritual objectives (pp. 200–214).The Rigveda refers to trade and merchant classes (Rigveda 10.42.9, 10.94.13), hinting at the practice of organized commerce (Bloomfield, 1906, p. 288). Sacrificial practices in Yajurveda and Samaveda would disclose a redistribution-based economic system (Keith, 1914, pp. 72-75; Oldenberg, 1897, p. 227). Women were active in textiles, agriculture, and trade. Through the concept of Stridhana, they were granted legal property rights, thus ensuring economic independence (Altekar, 1938, pp. 56–61). In addition, Shastri et al. (2023) delve further into how women in Vedic society would cross the limits set by patriarchy to participate in leadership and market activity (pp. 8–10).

1.3. Research Gap

Though a vast literature exists on the Vedic economy and trade practices, there exist one or two very important areas that are relatively unexplored or need further scrutiny. The current literature of the Vedic period deals mainly with agriculture and cattle breeding as trades (Sharma, 2006), or even in broad, general terms with administration and international trade relations (Thapar, 2004), but there has hardly ever been any attempt to view these economic activities in light of the ethical and spiritual frameworks within which they arose. While philosophical interpretations (Sri Aurobindo, 2003) clarify and emphasize moral intent in economic activity, there still remain very few instances of a thorough historical investigation that explicitly relate economic practices with Dharma and Artha.

Although women in the Vedic society have received respectable attention (Altekar, 1938; Shastri et al., 2023), they are still in need of a more technically precise consideration of the extent of their involvement with trade and economic decision-making, particularly outside of their rights to property which is also concerned with matters of community welfare and sustainable economic models.

More importantly, most of the existing literature treats Vedic trade and economy from either a fragmented religious perspective or a purely materialistic one, rarely integrating these two to see how they co-exist in the field of social welfare. There still remains a void of precise, detailed analysis of the extent to which Vedic economic practices provide an alternative framework to the present capitalist form, especially in sustainability, ethical commerce and social inclusion.

This study will fill in these lacunae through a comprehensive study of Vedic economic structures, focusing on the interrelationship between spirituality, ethics and material prosperity and also remapping their contemporary economic relevance.

2. Objectives of the Research

(i) To investigate the bases of trade and economy within the Vedic civilization with production, exchange, and ownership systems.
(ii) To analyze the principles of ethics, spirituality, and philosophy underlying economic practices during the Vedic period in view of sustainability and social inclusion..
(iii) To analyze the economic and social roles played by the various groups in the Vedic economic system, especially women, in matters pertaining to participation, rights, and access to resources.
(iv) To evaluate the relevance of Vedic economic thought as an alternative to modern capitalist systems, by comparing it with other ancient civilizations and considering whether it has a place within modern sustainable economic paradigms.

3. Research Methodology

This is a qualitative research utilising a historical-analytical approach. Primary sources for the study were collected from the *Rigveda, Samaveda, Yajurveda, and Atharvaveda*, with interpretations from the Upanishads. Secondary sources such as those by Altekar, Sharma, Aurobindo, and Thapar offered critical appreciation. Theoretical frameworks from comparative philosophy, textual analysis, and inclusive gender theories were used in the analysis of economic roles, systems, and ideologies.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. The Economic Foundation of Vedic Society

The Vedic economy was primarily agriculture and pastoral-based, with cattle functioning as a symbol of wealth as well as economic resources. According to R.S. Sharma (2006), cattle indeed were indicators of prosperity and served even as a medium of barter exchanges (pp. 40–42). Agriculture was seasonal. Barley and wheat were produced using primitive evolving tools. Wealth derived from cattle. The nurturing of cows standing as a symbol of accumulation and stabilization in socio-economic and religious terms.

Monetary systems had not yet evolved into coinage during the Vedic period. Barter, therefore, was the basis of a system of economic exchange, with grains, cattle, salt, or textiles, for instance, forming a basis upon which goods were exchanged in trade within or outside settlements.

Economic transactions in the Rigveda, such as barter between gold and livestock, suggest a relatively sophisticated understanding in value attribution (Bloomfield, 1906, p. 288). The concept of *Dakshina* (gifts or honorarium) also in the Later Vedic period, the extent of adoption of iron agricultural-related implements brought about significantly enhanced income from agriculture and resources utilization. Sharma views this development as paramount importance in regard to surplus production and the emergence of widespread trade at the regional level (p. 45). Resource management was typically based on natural rhythms and respect for the land and water and was connected with ritualistic observances. Archaic references in the Atharvaveda and Yajurveda to ploughing, irrigation, and land fertility indicate early concern with ecological balance (Whitney, 1905, pp. 145-147; Keith, 1914, pp. 72-74). Initially, the land was communally held during the Vedic period. But with the growth of settlement and agricultural surplus, private ownership began to be asserted. Properties were also held by women under the institution of *Stridhana*, which consisted of land, cattle, and valuables received from the husband or by inheritance (Altekar, 1938, pp. 56-61).

Property rights in the Vedic period were really governed by customs; in some cases, certain religious texts say that women had a legitimate right to supervise or dispose of their properties, which may be viewed as an expression of individual economic agency on an initial level.

4.2. Trade Mechanisms and Commercial Practices

Trade was an essential feature of Vedic society. It extended beyond mere subsistence. It represented a comprehensive arena of internal exchange. The village markets functioned as centers of barter in food grains, cattle, textiles, pottery, and tools. Being embedded deeply in social life, trade occurred in these village markets when religious meets or fairs took place, thereby integrating commerce into social and spiritual life (Sharma, 2006, pp. 47–49). Local production, including spinning, weaving, and trading household articles, supported local economies and was often accomplished by women (Altekar, 1938, pp. 58–60).

From both textual and archaeological records, it may be inferred that long-distance trade start to flourish in the late Vedic period. Thapar (2004) draws attention to the increasing linkages between the Vedic communities and far-off lands along the routes of overland and reverie trade (pp. 112–114). Proto-commercial networks were emerged, enabling the different regions exchange of salt with horses, metals, textiles, and perfumes. Some hymns in the Rigveda indicate some awareness of maritime activities; some merchants, it is said, "set forth on ships" (Rigveda 1.56.2), thereby implying only a distantly learned awareness of overseas trade (Bloomfield, 1906, p. 289).

Trade routes at that times primarily comprised barren network lands and river routes. The Ganga and Yamuna rivers would have served well as trade routes, agitating in the movement of agricultural and artisanal products. The transport across regions was carried on by caravans and pack animals, mostly oxen. They mainly traded products like agricultural produce, cattle, ghee, honey, spices, fabrics, and metallic articles like copper- and gold-made ornaments. Whitney (1905) in his study on the *Agni Purana* mentions something about the invocation for success in business ventures, thereby acknowledging traders and economic aspiration in society (pp. 146–147).

The earliest knowledge of trade with some kind of organization is found in the *Rigveda*. Verses such as 10.42.9 and 10.94.13 mention Vanijas or merchants who traded gold, cattle, and other valuables (Bloomfield, 1906, p. 288). That trade was not just commercial but also involved rites and social respect is affirmed by these references. The *Atharvaveda* witnesses prayers for prosperity in trade and merchant safety in their journeys, demonstrating an advanced comprehension of risk and reward in economic matters (Whitney, 1905, pp. 145-150).

Together, these sources portray Vedic trade as simultaneously traffic in material goods and a subculture of fame, setting the cultural backdrop from which Indian commercial practice developed.

4.3. Role of Women in Vedic Economy

During the Vedic period, women played important agents in sustaining an agrarian economy, alongside the men with whom they worked in diverse agricultural activities. Planting seeds, harvesting crops, and processing agricultural commodities were among the tasks performed by women. Archaeological material and Vedic literature, especially the *Rigveda* and *Atharvaveda,* suggest that the labor of these women was critical to sustaining rural households and securing food (Miller, 1984; Thapar, 2002). Women were also adept in handicrafts, particularly weaving and pottery, which were used at home and traded (Lerner, 1993). Thus, the production of handicrafts was not only generated household income but it also contributed to the wider local artisanal economy.

Textile production was another vital commodity for local and regional trades, and the women within the Vedic economy played a crucial role in its production. Most of textile production was domestic, women engaged in spinning yarn, dyeing fabrics, and weaving cloth, an art praised in Vedic hymns (Rigveda 10.85.27) (Doniger, 1990). In addition to textiles, women were also engaged in animal husbandry by rearing cows, sheep, and goats which were important for agricultural productivity and social wealth (Kane, 1953). This role provided women with dual economic functions:

sustaining both subsistence and market-oriented activities. Women also participated in local trade with control over the sale of surplus household products in village markets, meaning they got involved in the earliest forms of trade and barter (Dube, 1997). *Stridhana* (literally, woman's property) as a concept was a great feature of the Vedic socio-economic system. Their *Stridhanam* consisted of various gifts such as those received from her father, husband, or other relatives, and the property that did not belong to her family. Unlike common property, Stridhanam was the private property of the woman and thus over which she had control (Ghosh, 1991). The classical literature such as *Manusmriti* and later *Dharmashastras* recognize the rights of a woman to inherit and independently manage Stridhanam, thus pointing to some degree of economic independence (Olson, 2007). The property was usually protected from being seized by others, including her husband, thereby providing some level of financial security for women. However, daughter inheritance rights across the world varied from region to region and community to community and were constantly subject to the patriarchal norms (Dalmia, 2005).

The Vedic economy was characterized by a gendered division of labor where men and women played complementary but different roles in economic processes. While men have generally been those who plowed, hunted, and made war, women were by definition those who carried out domestic production, rearing of children, and household economies (Thapar, 2002). In reality, however, women worked with a wide degree of autonomy in agricultural work, handicrafts, and trade, thereby cast away the simpler image of women as economically subordinate (Narasimhan, 2010). Rituals and legal codes pay testimony to the social recognition of women's economic roles, granting them rights and responsibilities within the household and community (Olson, 2007).

4.4. Ethical and Ritual Economy

Dharma, being a system of morality and ethics, maintained the Vedic society by governing economic behavior, particularly in trade and commerce. Economic transactions were not driven solely by the pursuit of profit; rather, they were embedded within a broader framework of righteousness and duty. Traders and merchants were expected to undertake their businesses honestly, without cheats and deceitfulness, towards equity, and societal integration (Sharma, 2006). This ethical compulsion went beyond individual gratification into welfare for the society, demonstrating the bizarre yet amalgamated manner in which economy and ethics were perceived in the Vedic culture.

The economy in the Vedic period was closely intertwined with ritualizarecial acts, where especially the *yajnas* (sacrificial rites) served as economic mechanisms for redistribution. *Yajnas* involved offering of wealth to deities such as cattle, grains, or gold, symbolizing the redistribution of resources within society. This ritualized redistribution would affirm social stratification and the legitimacy of rulers, besides building social solidarity (Keith, 1914). The sacrificial economy concerned itself with cyclical flow rather than any form of accumulation, with parity channels of material prosperity and its own kind of prosperity-another amongst spiritual obligations.

The goal of artha (wealth) was indeed accepted as another life goal, but the pursuit of this goal was constrained by considerations of morality and conscience. In the Vedic and Dharmashastra period, emphasis was laid on the stipulation that the acquisition and utilization of wealth must support dharma. Wealth is not to be accumulated for selfish motives; rather, it is to be used for the welfare of family, society, and religious activities (Olson, 2007). This inverse system of artha stresses on placing a serious weight on every individual in ensuring that the wealth acquired in the world contributes towards erecting the social order as well as the cosmic order.

The *Upanishads*, philosophical texts emerging from the later Vedic tradition, carried further and deeper the inquiry concerning the relationship between economy, ethics, and spirituality. They proposed that ultimate wealth is surpassing material possessions and rests in self-knowledge and liberation (moksha). The Upanishadic philosophy urges renunciation of material gain, and life ought to be led by wisdom and inner fulfillment (Radhakrishnan, 1953). This view, therefore, colored the understanding of economic activities as eventually being much more than mere means for survival or status; they were, in their own right, part of the ethical and spiritual progress of the individual and society as a whole.

4.5. Political Economy and Royal Patronage

By means of governance and regulation of economic activities, chiefly trade, the Raja in a Vedic society was of paramount importance. The king was not only a political leader but also a custodian of economic order and social justice. Sharma (2006) states that the Raja secured the trade routes against disruption, enforced law and order, and stopped any form of cheating or oppression in the markets (pp. 120–123). The king's legitimacy was thus closely bound up with his fostering economic prosperity and enforcing *Dharma* (moral law), marrying political authority with economic regulation.

The organized markets in the later Vedic era were one of the reasons for the establishment of administrative machinery under royal patronage. *Haats* or markets were organized under the state patronage to encourage local trade or the flow of trade on the larger level. According to Thapar (2004), the administrative control included officials appointed to regulate market activities, weights and measures, and assure the collection of taxes or tolls from merchants (pp. 115–117). Such administrative systematization inculcated confidence into commercial activities and thus stabilized the economy within the kingdom.

The royal patronage was much beyond mere regulation; it was a strategic level of planning and redistribution of resources. With his ministers and councilors, the king planned the distribution of agricultural surplus and artisan's goods to consolidate the polity and support religious activities. Altekar (1938) observes how such redistribution was carried out through royal gifts, public works, and sponsorship of yajnas (sacrificial rites), which further consolidated social differentiation and political allegiance (pp. 58–61). The planned economy thus achieved a check upon wealth aggregation with social equity and maintained the political structure.

Leadership in the Vedic State was inseparable from the institution of legal foundations that ensured economic stability. The Raja and his council would establish laws for safeguarding property rights, regulating disputes, and maintaining fair commercial practices. As per Sharma (2006), the codes were enacted upon justice and welfare of the subjects, thereby setting the stage for economic growth and social harmony (pp. 124–126). These early administrative organs laid the foundational structures for institutionalizing the political economy of ancient India.

4.6. Comparative Analysis

Trade shows both points of similarity and difference when compared with those of other ancient civilizations like the Mesopotamians in the west, Egypt in the north, and China in the east. Like the Vedic economy, these civilizations heavily relied on agriculture and barter systems, although rudimentary forms of currency or monetary substitutes were gradually emerging (Postgate, 1992; Wilkinson, 2003).On the other hand, Mesopotamia, with its complex city-states, had extensive trade networks where standard weights and written contracts were amongst the trade requirements, whereas Vedic trade was localized in nature and therefore, for instance, a seller might be led in a trade by some prayer (Jacobsen, 1976). In Egypt, trade was related to the supervision of the state central authority in the long-distant commercial activities along Nile and Mediterranean routes (Kemp, 2006).

Similarly, ancient China, especially under the Shang and Zhou dynasties, preferred controlled trade under the royal inspection, where an advanced bureaucratic system managed resource allocation (Lewis, 1999). In contrast, what makes Vedic trade worth-mentioning is its formulation of spiritual and ethical standards to the economic transaction. Such integration is comparatively rare in the economic frameworks of other ancient civilizations.

Vedic economic thought was set apart in that it embedded material exchanges within the dharma or righteous duty, and ritual practice. *Artha* (wealth) was viewed as a concession for the social order and religious duties rather than for private gain (Sharma, 2006).

The sacrificial economy with the view of *yajnas* as redistribution was seen as wealth in a cyclical perspective rather than an accumulation in the linear sense (Keith, 1914). Property rights, especially for women through *stridhana,* give further evidence of the recognition of economic agency in an otherwise patriarchal society (Altekar, 1938). These factors differentiate Vedic economic ideas from those in Mesopotamian and Egyptian economic ideas, which were more secular and administrative.

Vedic economic ideas philosophically parallel and share with other ancient traditions the recognition of the ethical constraints of wealth and of the need for social harmony. For instance, Confucian ideas in ancient China stress the need for a moral governance and for rulers to exercise their economic concerns as an ethical responsibility (Yao, 2000). Likewise, Mesopotamian legal codes, such as the Code of Hammurabi, sought to establish fairness and justice in trade practice (Roth, 1997). However, the stress within the Vedas on spiritual liberation (moksha) as the ultimate purpose sets it apart from the more pragmatic, administrative view held elsewhere. The transcendence of material acquisition by the Upanishad vision represents a unique confluence of economy, ethics, and spirituality, highlighted well by the integrative worldview of the ancient Indian civilization (Radhakrishnan, 1953).

5. Conclusion

This study reveals that the Vedic economy was a complicated manifold system combining agrarian or pastoral occupation with an ethical and ritualistic dimension. Trade, both local and long-range, was regulated by dharma and royal patronage, so it could be finally stabilized and harmonized.

In integrating textual and archaeological evidence, this study brings nuance to ancient Indian economic history and dispels the simplistic accounts of primitive barter economies. It illuminates the sophistication of Vedic trade arrangements, their political economy, and their moral codes, enriching comparative inquiries of ancient economies and forming a connecting bridge from historical traditions to modern economic thought.

6. Relevance of Vedic Economic Thought in Modern Times

The harmony of nature and welfare of the community were the core teaching ideas of the Vedic economic system, highly pertinent nowadays as sustainability came to be discussed. The ecological balance, observed in certain ritualistic traditions related to agriculture and resource management, becomes an exemplar of sustainable economic behavior (Sharma, 2006). Trade for the community, rooted in reciprocity and social bonding rather than brute profitability, provides a lesson for many of the modern local economies striving for resilience and distributive justice (Banerjee, 2015). A model of these kinds challenges the hegemonic profit-centered capitalism that places a limelight on long-term ecological deterioration and social disintegration.

The dharma concept in the Vedic worldview places moral considerations at the forefront of issues related to wealth generation and distribution. It resonates well with modern-day issues of corporate social responsibility (CSR) where businesses involved themselves in matters of ethics and those contributing positively towards society (Sen & Bhattacharya, 2001). There was an early envisaging of the moral economy in the Vedic injunctions against deceit and exploitation in trade, demanding honesty and fairness since then. It is from this line of thinking that modern commercial corporations could derive inspiration to conjugate their economic interests with their social responsibilities.

Indigenous economic systems across the globe enable mutuality, sustainability, and welfare for society by an imprint deeply etched in Vedic economic philosophy. The policymakers may draw lessons from Vedic ideas concerning decentralized governance, community property rights (for instance, stridhana), and the sacrificial economy to evolve inclusive economic frames capable of empowering the downtrodden and conserving ecological resources (Altekar, 1938; Kothari, 2014). In the ideal environment, the coming together of such indigenous knowledge systems will greatly assist in serving the topical relevance and applicability of economic policies of today.

7. Limitations and Future Research Direction

The study covers the Vedic period (1500 BCE–500 BCE) with a focus on socio-economic structures as they are portrayed in literary, archaeological, and historical sources. In particular, the study investigates internal trade, agrarian systems, and ethical business practices; it does not, however, include economic changes of the post-Vedic age in any great detail or purely mythological interpretations. There are quite significant limitations as regards archaeological evidence and the reliance on translated Vedic texts.

There is a possibility that future researcher could study continuities and changes of Vedic economic principles in later Indian history, including medieval and colonial periods. Interdisciplinary approaches involving environmental studies, gender economics, and philosophy could give further value to understanding how Vedic ideas apply toward issues of contemporary economics—like sustainability, equity, and ethical governance.

REFERENCES

1. Altekar, A. S. (1938). *State and Government in Ancient India*. Motilal Banarsidass.
2. Banerjee, S. B. (2015). *Corporate Social Responsibility: The Good, the Bad and the Ugly*. *Critical Sociology*, 41(4–5), 589–602.
3. Bloomfield, M. (1906). *The Religion of the Veda: The Ancient Religion of India*. The University of Chicago Press.
4. Dalmia, V. (2005). *The Making of Modern Hinduism*. Oxford University Press.
5. Doniger, W. (1990). *Women, Androgynes, and Other Mythical Beasts*. University of Chicago Press.
6. Dube, L. (1997). *Women and Kinship: Comparative Perspectives on Gender in South and South-East Asia*. Vistaar Publications.
7. Ghosh, S. C. (1991). *Women in Ancient India*. Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers.
8. Jacobsen, T. (1976). *The Harps that Once...: Sumerian Poetry in Translation*. Yale University Press.
9. Kane, P. V. (1953). *History of Dharmaśāstra (Ancient and Medieval Religious and Civil Law)*. Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.
10. Keith, A. B. (1914). *The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads*. Motilal Banarsidass.
11. Kemp, B. J. (2006). *Ancient Egypt: Anatomy of a Civilization*. Routledge.
12. Kothari, A. (2014). *Indigenous Knowledge Systems and Sustainability*. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 47(3), 265–276.
13. Lerner, G. (1993). *The Creation of Patriarchy*. Oxford University Press.
14. Lewis, M. E. (1999). *The Early Chinese Empires: Qin and Han*. Belknap Press.
15. Miller, B. (1984). *The Vedic Age: The Social and Economic Context*. Cambridge University Press.
16. Narasimhan, H. (2010). Gender and Economic Roles in Ancient India. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 33(1), 45–63.

17. Oldenberg, H. (1897). *The Religion of the Veda*. Motilal Banarsidass.
18. Olson, C. (2007). *The Stridhana in Classical Hindu Law*. *Journal of Legal History*, 28(2), 112–135.
19. Olson, C. (2007). *The World of the Ancient Vedas*. Oxford University Press.
20. Postgate, J. N. (1992). *Early Mesopotamia: Society and Economy at the Dawn of History*. Routledge.
21. Radhakrishnan, S. (1953). *The Principal Upanishads*. Harper & Brothers.
22. Roth, M. T. (1997). *Law Collections from Mesopotamia and Asia Minor*. Scholars Press.
23. Sen, S., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2001). *Does Doing Good Always Lead to Doing Better? Consumer Reactions to Corporate Social Responsibility*. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 225–243.
24. Sharma, R. S. (2006). *India's Ancient Past*. Oxford University Press.
25. Shastri, P., et al. (2023). Women's Economic Roles in Ancient India: New Perspectives. *Journal of Historical Studies*, 12(1), 1–15.
26. Sri Aurobindo. (2003). *The Life Divine*. Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department.
27. Thapar, R. (2002). *Early India: From the Origins to AD 1300*. University of California Press.
28. Thapar, R. (2004).The Penguin History of Early India: From the Origins to AD