



Nurturing Social-Emotional Development in School-Going Children: A Conceptual Exploration

Nabomita Patra

Research Scholar, Faculty of Education, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Email - patra.nabo@gmail.com,

Abstract: *Social-emotional development (SED) is a vital aspect of school going children growth and holistic development, significantly influencing their ability to manage emotions, adjustment, positive interpersonal relationships, academic performance, and overall well-being. This conceptual paper explores the key components, theoretical foundation, factors influencing social-emotional development, and the significant role schools play in nurturing these essential life skills. It discusses existing challenges to fostering SED and provides recommendations for integrating social-emotional learning (SEL) frameworks within educational settings. By highlighting the importance of holistic development, this paper emphasizes the need for collaborative efforts between educators, parents, and policymakers to create inclusive and supportive learning environments that foster social and emotional competence.*

Keywords: *Social- Emotional development, educational institution, school-going children, social-emotional learning.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

1.1 Background of the study

Social-emotional development comprises the processes through which school going children acquire the skills to understand and manage emotions, build positive relationships, and make responsible choices (Zins et al., 2007). In school-going children, these skills play a pivotal role in cultivating resilience, empathy, self-confidence, and effective communication. In day to day life there is a growing pressure on students of their academic achievement and expectations from family, therefore, nurturing social-emotional competence has become an essential component of education (Durlak et al., 2011). Research evidence suggests that early intervention in social-emotional learning can significantly influence positive behavioral outcomes (Jones & Doolittle, 2017). This paper aims to explore the conceptual foundations of social-emotional development, identify factors influencing it, and highlight the role of schools in supporting this critical aspect of child development.

Social-Emotional Development:

Social skills play a crucial role in a child's ability to function effectively within society. The development of social-emotional skills begins with early interactions with caregivers, which are foundational for later social growth (Vygotsky, 1978). Subsequently as per stages of development social skills among children extend where they acquire skills like perspective-taking, cooperation, and moral understanding (Tomasello, 2019). These skills are essential for navigating social situations, building relationships, and functioning within a community. Recent studies in developmental psychology have increasingly focused on these social aspects, recognizing the pivotal role they play in overall child development (Denham et al., 2012).

Emotional development in school going children is determined by sociocultural interactions, particularly through different mediums of interaction with parents and peers, which fosters cognitive growth (Lindsey, 2016). Emotional competencies, including the ability to express and manage emotions, are vital for success in the school environment. These competencies contribute to social skills, well-being, and academic performance. The research evidence suggested that children who are capable of exhibiting positive emotions, possess strong social competence, that support their

positive social interaction (Lindsey, 2016). According to functionalist theories, poor emotional skills, like tantrum, argument, and anger serves as a signal to distance oneself from others, which may obstruct the emotional and social integration in school settings (Thompson, 2007).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW:

Social and Emotional Development (SED) is a broad term that covers the range of interpersonal and intrapersonal abilities related to social and emotional growth (Malti, 2011). In applied literature a closely related term is often used Social and Emotional Learning (SEL; CASEL, 2013). In this context, the usage of the term SED is due to the theoretical framework that addresses the developmental processes involved in the acquisition, maturation, and transformation of social and emotional competencies during childhood and its developmental stages. SED includes the ability to recognize, and manage to express emotions appropriately as per children's age and developmental stage; along with capacity to sustain healthy relationships with both peers and adults (Eisenberg, 2000; Malti, Häcker, & Nakamura, 2009; Saarni, 1999). Therefore, SED involves self-directed and responsible approach toward oneself in an interconnected social environment. SED plays a critical role in managing challenges in social interactions and adapting to various situational demands in everyday life of school going children.

Research has underscored various key skills that are important for Social and Emotional Development (SED) and its progression throughout the lifespan (CASEL, 2013; Malti, 2011). Various frameworks have been introduced to classification of the different sub skills that underlies SED. For example, CASEL has identified five core competencies for social and emotional learning (CASEL, 2013). These competencies—self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making—are interconnected with emotional, cognitive, and behavioral abilities.

Key Concept of Social-Emotional Development: Five Core Competencies (CASEL Framework)

1. **Self-Awareness:** Self-awareness is the ability to recognize and understand one's emotions, thoughts, values, and experiences, and how these factors affect behaviour (Goleman, 1995). Recognizing the impact of thoughts and feelings on behaviour can lead to positive life changes and deeper insight into personal decisions (Goleman, 1995). Self-awareness is foundational to optimism, responsible decision-making, and fostering healthy relationships (Mayer & Salovey, 2004).
2. **Self-Management:** Self-management refers to the ability to regulate one's emotions, thoughts, and behaviours, improving areas such as stress management, impulse control, and self-discipline (Gross, 2015). Developing these skills leads to more responsible decision-making, better awareness of safety concerns, and improvements in academic performance, work productivity, and relationships (Eisenberg et al., 2004). A positive self-management strategy, rooted in self-reflection and evaluation, helps individuals take constructive and positive actions within an SEL framework (Gross, 2015).
3. **Social-Awareness:** Social awareness involves skills such as empathy, perspective-taking, and understanding diverse groups, ensuring fair treatment (Zins & Elias, 2007). It helps in maintaining healthy relationships and positively impacts social interactions in family, school, and community environments (Hoffman, 2000). By enhancing social awareness, children can better understand different perspectives, social norms, duties and responsibilities leading to more inclusive decision-making in various social settings (Zins & Elias, 2007).
4. **Relationship Skills:** The relationship skills competency focuses on making positive connections and considering others' emotions to establish and maintain healthy relationships. Key skills include active listening, effective communication, respect for others' perspectives, and conflict resolution (Laursen & Collins, 2009). These skills are important in personal relationships, school settings, and professional environments, contributing to positive social interactions and environments (Wentzel, 2015).
5. **Responsible Decision-Making:** Relationship skills are essential for establishing and maintaining healthy relationships and navigating diverse social settings, involving communication, active listening, and constructive conflict resolution. Teaching students to treat others respectfully and empathetically promotes positive relationships, which is integral to social-emotional learning (Halberstadt et al., 2001). Positive Action's Conflict Resolution Plan encourages students to assess and correct their behaviour in conflicts by ensuring they are treating others with respect and kindness (CASEL, 2020)

3. OBJECTIVES:

3.1 Objectives of the study

The primary objective of this conceptual paper is to:

1. Examine factors that influence social-emotional development, including family environment, school climate, socioeconomic factors, and technology.
2. Highlight the role of educational institutions in fostering social-emotional development.
3. Identify challenges and barriers to promoting social-emotional development.
4. Provide recommendations for integrating social-emotional learning frameworks within educational settings to enhance holistic development

4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK:

To understand the concept of social-emotional development in children requires a comprehensive perusal of foundational theories from developmental psychology and educational research. There are the following theoretical frameworks that provide insights into the mechanisms influencing children's social-emotional development:

Erik Erikson's Psychosocial Theory

Erikson's stages of psychosocial development emphasize the resolution of social and emotional crises throughout life. During the school-age years, children encounter the stage of *industry versus inferiority*. At this stage, successful resolution occurs when children develop a sense of competence and confidence through accomplishments and positive feedback from peers, teachers, and parents. Conversely, repeated failures or negative feedback can lead to feelings of inadequacy and low self-esteem (Erikson, 1950). Newman and Newman (2017) further elaborate on this stage, highlighting how school environments play a critical role in fostering or hindering children's sense of industry. This developmental perspective underscores the importance of supportive environments and positive social interactions to promote social-emotional competence.

Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Model

Daniel Goleman's Emotional Intelligence (EI) framework emphasizes the critical role of emotional competencies in personal and social success. According to Goleman (1995), key elements of EI include self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. Brackett et al. (2011) further validate the significance of emotional intelligence in educational contexts, noting that students with higher EI exhibit better interpersonal relationships, enhanced academic performance, and improved emotional well-being. Goleman's work underscores the need for educational interventions that cultivate emotional intelligence through programs focused on self-regulation and empathy development.

These theoretical perspectives collectively highlight the multidimensional nature of social-emotional development in children. Erikson's emphasis on competence building and Goleman's focus on emotional intelligence offer invaluable guidance for educators and researchers. Integrating these frameworks into educational practice can foster environments that promote positive social-emotional outcomes, laying a strong foundation for lifelong success.

5. METHODOLOGY:

The methodology for this conceptual paper on "Social-Emotional Development in School-Going Children" is designed to explore and synthesize existing knowledge and frameworks regarding social-emotional development (SED).

5.1 Research Design

This paper employs a conceptual analysis approach to examine theoretical models, empirical studies, and best practices related to social-emotional development. The aim is to build a comprehensive understanding of the topic through a critical review of the literature, rather than conducting primary data collection. This approach is widely used in conceptual papers where secondary data analysis is required to build upon existing literature (Maxwell, 2013).

5.2 Data Sources

Data for this study are collected from secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journals, books, and reputable reports. These sources provide insights into various aspects of social-emotional development, including theories and empirical evidence. Data are drawn from academic databases such as Google Scholar, APA, Sage and PubMed, which are commonly used for gathering credible literature (Baker, 2016).

5.3 Data Collection

The study employs a systematic literature review to gather relevant academic papers. Inclusion criteria are established to ensure the sources are, peer-reviewed and relevant to the topic. A systematic review methodology ensures a comprehensive and objective selection of literature (Liberati et al., 2009).

5.4 Data Analysis

The literature is analysed thematically to identify key concepts and theories in social-emotional development. The study has widely encompassed the themes that emerged out from the data such as factors that influence social-emotional development, role of educational institutions in fostering social-emotional development, and challenges and barriers. Thematic analysis is a common qualitative method used for organizing and interpreting patterns in literature (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

6. FINDINGS:

In this study the findings are extracted by thematic analysis from secondary sources of data, there are three themes emerged out with subthemes that have been discussed.

Theme-1: Factors Influencing Social-Emotional Development

Family environment:

Family environment is a critical factor in shaping social-emotional development, as it provides the first setting for a child to learn about relationships, emotions, and social norms. Secure attachment is particularly important, as children with secure attachments tend to show better emotional regulation, empathy, and social skills. Studies by Bowlby (1982) and Cassidy & Shaver (2016) highlight how secure attachment leads to a greater sense of security, influencing the child's ability to form healthy relationships. In contrast, insecure attachment may result in challenges related to trust and emotional expression, as children may struggle to navigate complex social situations (Ainsworth et al., 1978).

Research has also emphasized the role of parenting styles in influencing social-emotional outcomes. Authoritative parenting, characterized by warmth, structure, and responsiveness, is linked to higher emotional intelligence and social competence (Baumrind, 1991; Lamborn et al., 1991). Conversely, authoritarian or neglectful parenting can undermine emotional development by fostering insecurity, low self-esteem, and difficulty in emotional regulation (Darling & Steinberg, 1993).

School Climate:

A positive school climate contributes to students' social-emotional development by creating a supportive atmosphere that enhances student engagement and emotional well-being. Studies by Rimm-Kaufman & Sandilos (2011) and Thapa et al. (2013) have shown that when schools foster inclusive and respectful environments, students experience increased feelings of safety, which can lead to improved emotional regulation and interpersonal skills. Additionally, interventions aimed at enhancing school climate, such as social-emotional learning (SEL) programs, have been shown to improve students' social competencies, including empathy, emotional awareness, and conflict resolution (Zins et al., 2007).

School climate also involves the relationships between teachers and students. Supportive, caring teacher-student relationships are essential for fostering emotional growth, especially for students facing external challenges like family instability (Pianta, 1999). This relationship encourages positive emotional and social behaviours, which are crucial for navigating both academic and social challenges.

Socioeconomic Factors:

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a well-established predictor of a child's social-emotional development, as it influences access to resources, exposure to stress, and opportunities for social interaction. Children from lower SES backgrounds often face higher levels of chronic stress due to financial instability, housing insecurity, or exposure to violence, which can adversely affect emotional regulation and social relationships (Evans, 2004). Research by Bradley & Corwyn (2002) and Duncan & Murnane (2011) demonstrates that lower SES is linked to reduced access to extracurricular activities and social experiences, which are critical for developing social skills.

Additionally, children in disadvantaged socioeconomic contexts may have less parental involvement or inconsistent caregiving, both of which can contribute to difficulties in social-emotional development (Conger et al., 1992). The cumulative effect of these factors can result in academic and social challenges, including lower self-esteem and higher rates of aggression or withdrawal.



Technology and Media:

While technology offers potential benefits for learning and socialization, its impact on social-emotional development is complex and dependent on usage patterns. Excessive screen time, particularly involving passive activities like watching television or playing violent video games can detract from face-to-face social interactions, reducing opportunities for children to develop critical social skills such as empathy, cooperation, and emotional regulation (Radesky et al., 2016). Furthermore, exposure to harmful media content has been linked to desensitization to violence, poor body image, and increased anxiety (Twenge & Campbell, 2018).

However, technology can also offer positive opportunities for social-emotional development. Digital platforms for communication, such as video calls or online social groups, allow children to maintain relationships and engage in collaborative problem-solving, especially when in-person interactions are limited (Subrahmanyam & Greenfield, 2008). Educational apps and games focusing on emotional regulation and empathy have also shown promise in supporting social-emotional growth.

Theme 2: Role of Schools in Social-Emotional Development

Importance of SED in Academic Success:

The development of social-emotional skills plays a pivotal role in children's academic success. Studies indicate that children who can effectively manage their emotions, empathize with others, and navigate social relationships are better equipped to handle academic challenges (Durlak et al., 2011). Strong social-emotional development fosters enhanced problem-solving abilities, greater self-regulation, and positive attitudes toward learning (Mahoney et al., 2018). Furthermore, these skills contribute to classroom cooperation and higher levels of intrinsic motivation (Weissberg et al., 2015).

In the Indian context, research by Chaitali Shroff (2019) highlights the significance of SEL interventions in improving emotional expression and management among schoolchildren. The study emphasizes that structured SEL programs help children express their emotions more constructively, reducing incidences of aggression and promoting academic focus. Shroff's work underscores the growing need for culturally tailored SEL interventions in Indian schools.

Importance of Social-Emotional Learning Programs:

The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) framework is one of the most widely used approaches for SEL interventions globally. It emphasizes five key competencies: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making (Domitrovich et al., 2017). These competencies help students navigate social challenges and regulate their emotional responses effectively.

In India, Aradhya & Parameswaran (2023) demonstrated the effectiveness of the 'acSELeRate program', which integrates CASEL principles to foster emotional intelligence and social skills among students. The study found that structured activities focusing on empathy, mindfulness, and communication significantly improved the social-emotional competence of participants.

Teacher Training and School Policies:

Teachers need training to incorporate Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) strategies into their classrooms. Research suggests that teachers' emotional competence significantly impacts their ability to implement SEL programs effectively (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009). In addition, schools must develop policies that prioritize mental health and emotional well-being, ensuring that SEL is integrated into the school culture (Oberle et al., 2016). These policies can create supportive environments that foster positive social-emotional outcomes for students. Sharma (2024) emphasizes the need for a policy change to incorporate SEL into teacher education programs. This approach would not only prepare teachers to address students' emotional needs but also foster a school-wide culture of SEL, for an effective SEL implementation requires proper teacher training and supportive policies; otherwise, it risks becoming fragmented and less impactful.

Moreover, schools in India must develop policies that prioritize mental health and emotional well-being to foster a holistic approach to student development and the National Policy on Education (NPE) 2020 emphasizes the need for integrated approaches to mental health and emotional learning within school systems (Government of India, 2020). These policies guide schools to create supportive environments that address the emotional and social needs of students.

Theme 3: Challenges and Barriers

Lack of Teacher Training:

Many educators lack adequate training to effectively implement SEL strategies. Research indicates that teachers who receive SEL training workshops report more positive outcomes in their classrooms. For instance, a study by Reyes et al. (2012) found that students had more positive outcomes when their teachers attended SEL training workshops. Similarly, (Oliveira et al., 2016) found that SEL interventions for teachers had a positive impact on their social and emotional competence and well-being, reducing psychological distress. Therefore, integrating SEL into pre service teacher education is crucial, as teachers who acquire social and emotional competencies early in their professional trajectory are likely to better implement SEL in their schools and classes (Lefler et al., 2024).

High Academic Pressure:

The emphasis on standardized testing often sidelines SEL initiatives. The current education system's focus on exams and grades can overshadow the importance of social and emotional development. For example, Sammy Wright's book "Exam Nation" critiques the UK's education system for its overemphasis on exams, arguing that this focus fails to capture all important aspects of a student's abilities and is influenced by societal inequalities. Wright proposes a balanced approach, integrating exams with other forms of assessment, and suggests improvements for schools, such as better mental health support and extracurricular activities.

Societal and Cultural Norms:

In some cultures, the suppression of emotional expression can hinder the development of emotional intelligence, which is a critical component of Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) in schools. Research shows that Western cultures often encourage the expression of high-arousal emotions, while Eastern societies emphasize low-arousal emotions, favouring adjustment and conformity (Lim, 2016). These cultural distinctions shape how students learn and practice SEL competencies, such as self-awareness and emotional regulation, in classroom settings.

Furthermore, the understanding of emotional intelligence may carry cultural biases. Gunkel et al. (2014) suggest that the traditional models of emotional intelligence used in SEL frameworks might not be universally applicable. Instead, culturally specific adaptations of SEL programs are necessary to accommodate diverse student backgrounds and promote inclusivity.

Integrating Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) into the Indian educational framework requires an understanding of cultural norms and emotional expression. Research indicates that Indian children often regulate emotions like anger and sadness to maintain social harmony, reflecting cultural values that prioritize group cohesion over individual expression. This contrasts with Western norms, where emotional expression is more openly encouraged (Wilson & Raval et al., 2013).

To create effective SEL programs, educators must consider these cultural differences and encourage emotionally supportive environments that respect various expressions of emotions. Such culturally responsive SEL practices can help students develop stronger social and emotional skills, ultimately fostering better interpersonal relationships and improved academic outcomes.

Limited Resources:

Schools in low-income areas may lack the resources to implement comprehensive SEL programs. A systematic review with meta-analysis of 43 empirical studies involving 3,004 in-service preK-12 teachers demonstrated that SEL interventions had statistically significant small to medium effect sizes favouring the experimental group, impacting teachers' social and emotional competence, well-being, and psychological distress. However, the effectiveness of these interventions can vary based on the teachers' social identities and experiences (Lang et al., 2020). Therefore, for teachers to truly be able to integrate SEL into their teaching practices, they need to excel in adopting its major components during their preservice education. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach, including enhancing teacher training, balancing academic pressures with SEL initiatives, fostering cultural acceptance of emotional expression, and ensuring equitable resource distribution across schools.

Implementing Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) programs in low-income areas in India presents significant challenges due to limited resources. A study by Dhata and Kumari (2020) highlights that schools in these regions often lack the necessary infrastructure and funding to support comprehensive SEL initiatives. This scarcity of resources hampers the



effective integration of SEL into the curriculum, thereby affecting students' social and emotional development. Additionally, Raman and Thomas (2023) emphasize the need for practical solutions to address these limitations, suggesting that tailored approaches are essential to overcome the resource constraints faced by schools in underprivileged areas.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS:

Future Directions and Recommendations

Integration of SEL Frameworks in School Curriculum:

The integration of Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) frameworks within the school curricula is essential to foster holistic development among students. By embedding SEL competencies, such as self-awareness, self-regulation, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making, schools can better prepare students for academic success and positive social interactions (Elias et al., 1997; Payton et al., 2008). Research suggests that integrating SEL into daily lessons not only improves students' emotional well-being but also enhances their academic performance, as SEL nurtures a supportive and engaging learning environment (Durlak et al., 2011). Schools must adopt evidence-based SEL programs and align them with their educational goals to achieve sustainable outcomes.

Regular Teacher Training:

Ongoing professional development for teachers is critical to effectively implementing SEL strategies in classrooms. Teachers must be equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary to model and teach SEL competencies (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009). Professional development programs should focus on enhancing teachers' social-emotional competence, classroom management techniques, and strategies for fostering positive student-teacher relationships (Jones et al., 2013). Moreover, teachers' own emotional well-being should be prioritized, as their ability to regulate emotions directly impacts their teaching effectiveness and interactions with students.

Collaborations:

Creating a supportive ecosystem for social-emotional development (SED) requires collaboration between educational institutions, parents, mental health professionals, and community organizations. Weissberg & Cascarino (2013) emphasize the importance of a whole-community approach to SEL, wherein all stakeholders play an active role in promoting positive social and emotional outcomes. Partnerships with mental health professionals can provide schools with access to resources and expertise to address students' mental health needs (Darling-Hammond et al., 2019). Engaging parents through workshops and communication channels ensures that SEL practices are reinforced at home.

Assessment and Feedback:

Regular assessment of social-emotional competencies is vital for tracking students' progress and identifying areas for improvement. According to Durlak et al. (2011), both formative and summative evaluations should be employed to assess the efficacy of SEL programs. Feedback from teachers, parents, and peers provides valuable insights into students' social-emotional growth and helps tailor interventions to meet individual needs (Taylor et al., 2017). Developing reliable and valid assessment tools that capture the complexities of social-emotional development is essential for meaningful evaluation and continuous improvement.

8. CONCLUSION:

The integral role of social-emotional development (SED) in children's overall growth is particularly significant in Indian educational institutions, where academic stress can be intense. This study underscores the necessity of incorporating Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) frameworks into educational environments. Research by Durlak et al. (2011) and CASEL (2013) supports this approach, showing SEL's beneficial effects on resilience, self-regulation, and interpersonal abilities. Customized SEL initiatives, such as those described by Shroff (2023) in the Indian context, encourage emotional expression and academic focus, which are vital for child development. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 endorses the creation of a supportive school atmosphere that combines mental health and emotional learning to enhance students' comprehensive development (Government of India, 2020). This policy shift in India recognizes SEL's importance, emphasizing a holistic educational approach that includes emotional growth alongside academic instruction. The aim is to nurture well-rounded individuals capable of managing emotions and forming healthy relationships (Sattva Consulting, 2020).



Effective SEL implementation in schools necessitates proper teacher training, supportive policies, and addressing challenges like academic pressure, resource limitations, and cultural attitudes towards emotional expression. Equipping educators with SEL training is crucial for fostering positive emotional and social outcomes (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009). Furthermore, establishing a collaborative, resource-supported ecosystem involving families, communities, and mental health professionals will amplify SEL's impact. Research by Aradhya and Parameswaran (2023) demonstrates that integrating the 'acSELeRate program' in India has yielded positive results in developing emotional intelligence and social skills. In summary, promoting social-emotional competence through SEL is essential not only for academic achievement but also for cultivating emotionally resilient and socially adept future generations. This priority demands collective action from educators, policymakers, and families.

REFERENCES:

Journal Papers:

1. Aradhya, A. B. S., & Parameswaran, S. (2023). Efficacy of systemic SEL intervention 'acSELeRate' on students' SEL development: Evidence from a field study. *Revista Romaneasca Pentru Educatie Multidimensionala*, 15(2), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.18662/rrem/15.2/717>
2. Baker, M. (2016). Using databases to find credible research. *Journal of Educational Research*, 109(2), 156-163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2015.1120841>
3. Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11, 56-95.
4. Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11, 56-95.
5. Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., & Salovey, P. (2011). Emotional intelligence: Implications for personal, social, academic, and workplace success. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(1), 88-103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00334.x>
6. Bradley, R. H., & Corwyn, R. F. (2002). Socioeconomic status and child development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 371-399. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135233>
7. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
8. Conger, R. D., Conger, K. J., Elder, G. H., Lorenz, F. O., Simons, R. L., & Whitbeck, L. B. (1992). A family process model of economic hardship and adjustment of early adolescent boys. *Child Development*, 63(3), 526-541. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131344>
9. Conger, R. D., Conger, K. J., Elder, G. H., Lorenz, F. O., Simons, R. L., & Whitbeck, L. B. (1992). A family process model of economic hardship and adjustment of early adolescent boys. *Child Development*, 63(3), 526-541. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131344>
10. Darling, N., & Steinberg, L. (1993). Parenting style as context: An integrative model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113(3), 487-496. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.487>
11. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2019). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>
12. Denham, S. A., Bassett, H. H., & Zinsser, K. (2012). Early childhood teachers as socializers of young children's emotional competence. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 40(2), 137-143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-012-0504-2>
13. Domitrovich, C. E., Durlak, J. A., Staley, K. C., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Social-emotional competence: An essential factor for promoting positive adjustment and reducing risk in school children. *Child Development*, 88(2), 408-416. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12739>
14. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405-432. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x>
15. Eisenberg, N. (2000). Emotion, regulation, and moral development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51, 665-697. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.51.1.665>
16. Eisenberg, N. (2000). Emotion, regulation, and moral development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51, 665-697. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.51.1.665>
17. Eisenberg, N., & Spinrad, T. L. (2004). Emotion-related regulation: sharpening the definition. *Child Development*, 75(2), 334-339. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00674.x>
18. Eisenberg, N., & Spinrad, T. L. (2004). Emotion-related regulation: sharpening the definition. *Child Development*, 75(2), 334-339. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00674.x>
19. Elias, M. J., & Zins, J. E. (2007). Social and emotional learning: Promoting the development of all students. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 17(3), 233-255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10474410701413152>

20. Evans, G. W. (2004). The environment of childhood poverty. *American Psychologist*, 59(2), 77–92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.2.77>
21. Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2014.940781>
22. Gunkel, M., Schlaegel, C., & Taras, V. (2016). Cultural values, emotional intelligence, and conflict handling styles: A global study. *Journal of World Business*, 51(4), 568–585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2016.02.001>
23. Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(1), 491–525. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308325693>
24. Jones, S. M., & Doolittle, E. J. (2017). Social and emotional learning: Introducing the issue. *The Future of Children*, 27(1), 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2017.0000>
25. Lang, S., Jeon, L., Sproat, E., Brothers, B., & Buetner, C. (2020). Social emotional learning for teachers (SELF-T): A short-term, online intervention to increase early childhood educators' resilience. *Early Education and Development*, 31, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2020.1749820>
26. Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C. D., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., & Clarke, M. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med*, 6(7), e1000100. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100>
27. Lim, N. (2016). Cultural differences in emotion: Differences in emotional arousal level between the East and the West. *Integrative Medicine Research*, 5(2), 105–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imr.2016.03.004>
28. Lindsey, E. W. (2019). Frequency and intensity of emotional expressiveness and preschool children's peer competence. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 180(1), 45–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.2019.1689920>
29. Mahoney, J. L., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2018). An update on social and emotional learning outcome research. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 100(4), 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721718815668>
30. Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. R. (2004). Emotional intelligence: Theory, findings, and implications. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15(3), 197–215. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1503_02
31. Newman, A., Donohue, R., & Eva, N. (2017). Psychological safety: A systematic review of the literature. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(3), 521–535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.01.001>
32. Oberle, E., Domitrovich, C. E., Meyers, D. C., & Weissberg, R. P. (2016). Establishing systemic social and emotional learning approaches in schools: A framework for schoolwide implementation. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 46(3), 277–297. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2015.1125450>
33. Oliveira, S., Roberto, M. S., Pereira, N. S., Marques-Pinto, A., & Veiga-Simão, A. M. (2021). Impacts of social and emotional learning interventions for teachers on teachers' outcomes: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 677217. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.677217>
34. Payton, J., Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., Schellinger, K. B., & Pachan, M. (2008). The positive impact of social and emotional learning for kindergarten to eighth-grade students: Findings from three scientific reviews. *Technical Report*. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED505370>
35. Radesky, J. S., Kistin, C., Eisenberg, S., Gross, J., Block, G., Zuckerman, B., & Silverstein, M. (2016). Parent perspectives on their mobile technology use: The excitement and exhaustion of parenting while connected. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 37(9), 694–701. <https://doi.org/10.1097/dbp.0000000000000357>
36. Raman, V., & Thomas, S. (2023). School mental health program in India—Issues and possible practical solutions. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 45(3), 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02537176231165033>
37. Schunk, D. H., & DiBenedetto, M. K. (2020). Motivation and social cognitive theory. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 60, Article ID: 101832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.101832>
38. Shroff, C. (2023). Impact of social emotional learning (SEL) intervention on emotion expression and management. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 11(3), 3910-3919. DIP:18.01.364.20231103, <https://doi.org/10.25215/1103.364>
39. Subrahmanyam, K., & Greenfield, P. (2008). Online communication and adolescent relationships. *The Future of Children*, 18(1), 119–146. <https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.0.0006>
40. Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864>
41. Thapa, A., Cohen, J., Guffey, S., & Higgins-D'Alessandro, A. (2013). A review of school climate research. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(3), 357–385. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654313483907>
42. Weissberg, R. P., & Cascarino, J. (2013). Academic learning + social-emotional learning = national priority. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 95(2), 8–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172171309500203>
43. Wilson, S., Raval, V., Salvina, J., Raval, P., & Panchal, I. (2012). Emotional expression and control in school-age children in India and the United States. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 58, 50–76. <https://doi.org/10.1353/mpq.2012.0005>
44. Zins, J. E., & Elias, M. J. (2007). Social and emotional learning: Promoting the development of all students. *Journal of Educational & Psychological Consultation*, 17(2-3), 233–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10474410701413152>



1. Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
2. Cassidy, J., & Shaver, P. (2016). *Handbook of attachment, Third Edition: Theory, research, and clinical applications*. The Guilford Press.
3. Elias, M. J., Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Frey, K. S., Greenberg, M. T., Haynes, N. M., ... & Shriver, T. P. (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. ASCD.
4. Erikson, E. H. (1950). *Childhood and society*. W W Norton & Co.
5. Goleman, D. P. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ for character, health and lifelong achievement*. Bantam Books.
6. Hoffman, M. L. (2000). *Empathy and moral development: Implications for caring and justice*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805851>
7. Laursen, B., & Collins, W. A. (2009). Parent-child relationships during adolescence. In R. M. Lerner & L. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of adolescent psychology: Contextual influences on adolescent development* (3rd ed., pp. 3–42). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470479193.adlpsy002002>
8. Lefler, N. L. (2024). Quality education through teacher-student relationships: Implementing social-emotional learning in teacher development. *Quality Assurance in Education*
9. Malti, T. (2011). Developing life skills in youth. In *Jacobs Foundation (Ed.), Jacobs Foundation guidelines on monitoring and evaluating life skills for youth development* (pp. 8–23). Jacobs Foundation.
10. Malti, T., Häcker, T., & Nakamura, Y. (2009). *Sozial-emotionales Lernen in der Schule* [Social-emotional learning in schools]. Pestalozzianum Verlag.
11. Maxwell, J. A. (2013). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483349008>
12. Pianta, R. C. (1999). Enhancing relationships between children and teachers. In *American Psychological Association eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10314-000>
13. Saarni, C. (1999). *The development of emotional competence*. Guilford Press.
14. Tomasello, M. (2019). *Becoming human: A theory of ontogeny*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674988651>
15. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
16. Weissberg, R., Durlak, J., Domitrovich, C., & Gullotta, T. P. (2015). Social and emotional learning: Past, present, and future. In *Handbook for social and emotional learning: Research and practice* (pp. 3–19).
17. Wentzel, K. R. (2015). Socialization in school settings. In J. E. Grusec & P. D. Hastings (Eds.), *Handbook of socialization: Theory and research* (2nd ed., pp. 251–275). The Guilford Press

Chapters in Books:

1. Duncan, G. J., & Murnane, R. J. (2011). Chapter 1: Introduction: The American dream, then and now. In G. J. Duncan & R. J. Murnane (Eds.), *Whither opportunity? Rising inequality, schools, and children's life* (pp. 3–23). Russell Sage Foundation

Web References:

1. Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning [CASEL]. (2020). SEL: What are the core competence areas and where are they promoted? <https://casel.org/sel-framework/>
2. Rimm-Kaufman, S., & Sandolis, L. (2011). Improving students' relationships with teachers to provide essential supports for learning. <http://www.apa.org/education/K12/relationships.aspx>
3. Sharma, Prof. (2024). Mindfulness and social emotional learning: Need and relevance in teacher education. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4889537>